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**December 2015**

We pray that all of you had a blessed and happy Thanksgiving!

But, now it’s Christmas time! It’s many people’s favorite time of the year. I know it is mine. Please endeavor this month to continually remind yourself that “Jesus is the Reason for the Season.” Amidst Santa Claus and all the commercialization of Christmas that can get too easily lost. It is a time when we need to focus on the great gift God gave to us in Christ and we pray that you will be able to keep that in focus and show God’s love to others in this very special time of year.

This month’s **Communiqué** contains an article dealing with one of the most prominent Christmas topics of all, the Star of Bethlehem. We pray that this article will not only let you become informed on the theories of this star, but also allow this biblical account to focus you on the miracles God gives us.

We also have an article shedding light on how “molecular clock” dating methods which have been used to date evolutionary transitions of man from apes can instead be a glorious tool to show man’s recent creation!

Finally, of course, we have a rundown of the many creation teaching opportunities coming to you in the San Antonio area in the near future. We pray that you and yours have a Merry Christmas!

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**What is the Truth about DNA Dating Techniques?**

Secular researchers have used our current DNA and those of Neanderthals and apes to try and get dates for how long it has been since we have split off from these supposedly primitive ancestors of ours. This is done by analyzing our current DNA and the fragments of DNA found in either the cell nucleus of some fossils or in cellular mitochondria. They find out how many base pairs in these samples differ and used an assumed mutation rate which will accumulate in the DNA with each succeeding generation. Dividing the number of observed differences in DNA base arrangements by the assumed rate gives them an estimate of how long we have been evolving from that species. This process is sometimes called a “molecular clock.” Some of the data for divergence of species derived from these clocks using evolutionary assumptions is pictured at right.

Although not a simple process by any means, it seems logical, until you come to grips with how they have ignored research in the field to use assumed mutation rates which are far from reality.

It has long been established in the field of genetics that if we, or any organism experienced more than a mutation rate of one mutated base pair per generation, that such an organism would very soon become nonviable and extinct as a result of the “genetic load” caused by all of these bad “typos” in their DNA (Kimura and Moruyama 1966). For this reason and for practicality, researchers routinely use mutation rates around 0.5 mutations per generation. Such rates have the good product in their eyes of yielding dates of 100,000 to 200,000 years for modern humans to have evolved from lower hominids, about 40,000 years since we split off from the Neanderthals and many millions of years since we evolved from apes as fit their timeline. (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Human\_mitochondrial\_molecular\_clock#Estimating\_based\_on\_AMH\_archaeology)

There are two pieces of evidence however which blow all of these careful calculations out of the water and in fact make these techniques excellent dating techniques for the creationist which indicates we are a very young species just as the Bible would infer.

The first bit of data to observe is the assumed mutation rates around half a base pair mutation per generation. In the last 25 years, numerous experiments and observations of organisms, including humans have confirmed that all species, including us, are experiencing not a gradual deterioration rate of 0.5 mutations per generation, but a rapid deterioration rate of between 75 and 175 mutations per generation being fixed into our DNA codes.

This reality of just how fast our DNA is actually deteriorating has two startling implications. The first is that all of the ages postulated by this dating technique has arrived at are off by at least a magnitude of two. If we use the experimentally observed mutation rate of 100 mutations added into each of our DNA sequences per generation instead of the assumed 0.5 mutation rate, we see that this dating method now concludes that humans have been degenerating as a species for only a few thousand years (Genetic Entropy & the Mystery of the Genome, J. C. Sanford, 2008). Our separation from Neanderthals becomes only a few hundred years, which equates to the reality that both Neanderthal and Homo-Sapiens are essentially the same species with just minor variations.

Both of these conclusions are right in line with the biblical account of creation and the fixity of the kinds as described in God’s word.

The other startling implication of this adjustment to real mutation rates within our species is a very sobering one. Dr. John C. Sanford, Professor Emeritus at Cornel University and one of the leading plant geneticists of our time has done extensive research into the implications of mutation rates in excess of 75 mutations per generation. His research has concluded that we are about 6000 years old as a species (right in line with the Bible), but also that due to the “genetic load” (amount of mutations building up in our DNA with each successive generation), we will cease to be a viable species in another 300 generations or another 6000 years and become extinct. This is a sobering effect of the Law of Entropy and in full agreement with the corruption of the curse put upon all creation by the Creator at the time of the Fall of Man.

All of this should be proof enough that the assumptions used by secular researchers are flawed and that they are using unrealistic and unscientific mutation rates in their calculations, but there is one more piece of evidence which makes it clear that their calculations are completely off.

Recent work by microbiologists published in the Nature Journal [#417(6887) pages 432-436, 2002] totally disproves any useful evolutionary calculations from these molecular clocks.

These researchers studied bacteria DNA contained in salt deposits which were “dated” at approximately 10 million, 100 million and 500 million years old. In doing these observations they were very careful to the extreme to insure that the DNA samples were from these rock deposits and not contaminated by modern bacteria.

The results of their analysis showed that DNA fragments from ancient bacteria locked within the salt from different depths were almost identical to each other. In other words, for over an assumed 500 million years, bacteria failed to change by any significant amount. Yet bacteria with their large populations and short life spans should evolve more rapidly than most other organisms on Earth (and this has been experimentally verified). If this molecular clock method does not work for these bacteria, why should it be trusted to date how long ago any creature has mutated or changed?

Indeed the closeness of the DNA fragments from these salt deposits would lead one to believe that either their dating of the deposits is very far off and that these deposits are much younger than publicized, or that the assumed mutation rates are much smaller than assumed.

The first thing that this shows is that the millions of years dates are wrong which goes right in line with the Bible and what we found when analyzing human DNA with the same molecular clock dating. The second thought that these results show is that mutation rates in use are in some way over estimated contradicts current research which shows that the rates used in these calculations, rather than being over estimated are in fact being vastly under estimated, to produce artificially large evolutionary time scales.

All too often we hear overstatements and flat out distortions of truth when researchers say that they have proved we evolved from the apes and that evolution has been going on for billions of years. Here is a case where the very clocks evolutionists have devised to show many millions of years of evolution, instead reveal a recent creation of man and all organisms when we use real experimentally derived rates in these calculations instead of unrealistic evolutionary assumed rates.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Christmas Apologetics and the Star of Bethlehem**

I frequently do a trivia quiz with my Sunday school class the last Sunday before Christmas, both to set the mood for the season, and to clear up a lot of misconceptions about the biblical account. One bit of trivia I ask is on what date was Jesus born? Many of my good students will logically say it was either in 1 AD or the year zero. Unfortunately both are wrong answers in spite of their being logical.

Our current AD calendar was set up in the 6th century, when the Christian monk Dionysius Exiguus devised the *Anno Domini* system, dating from the Incarnation of Jesus. (<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_calendars>) Unfortunately, six centuries later and with ancient communications as they were he had incorrect information about Christ’s date of birth and set it on the wrong date according to modern research.

First, let us understand the design of the Anno Domini system is that the year before Christ’s birth is 1 BC and the year of His birth is 1 AD. There is no zero on this rather unique timeline which marks a turning point in human history, the birth of Christ and God’s new relationship with us resulting from that birth.

Second, it is important to realize that different researchers have placed Christ’s birth anywhere between 3 BC and 12 BC. There is no consensus here, but the most popular dates for his life by modern scholars is birth in 4 BC and death on the cross in 30 AD. Note that this gives Christ the biblical 33 years of life on earth since there is no year zero. It is also important to note that most current secular literature and textbooks use the abbreviations BCE and ACE, for Before Common Era and After Common Era, instead of BC (Before Christ) and AD (Anno Domini – “In the year of Our Lord”). A blatant attempt to write Christ out of history!

This Bible trivia has even made its way into my Christmas décor.

Each year we put up a nativity scene on our front lawn. It includes the baby Jesus, Joseph, Mary, the three wise men and animals. My wife always wants me to include shepherds, but I refuse since the Bible makes it clear that the shepherds viewed the baby on the night of His birth and the wise men came weeks, or many months or perhaps even more than a year later. So they should not be seen together. Our decorations also include an angel mounted over my roof looking down on the manger scene. This angel is a great consternation to me as I have had great difficulty in getting her not to shift positions in the heavy winter winds and thus I often refer to her as that “dizzy dame,” as I continually go up to the roof to put her back into position overlooking the nativity scene.

Fortunately, God was better focused on what was happening in our world and in Bethlehem more than 2000 years ago than my wayward angel. But, there is still a lot of debate about different aspects of the Christmas story which bother people. One question is how could “magi” or wise men from the East have known of the Messiah’s coming and not the Jews who had been waiting for Him for centuries?

The answer to that biblical trivia question is in the book of Daniel. Daniel was taken as a young man to Babylon as a slave when Israel was conquered by Babylon around 600 BC. Through many God aided adventures Daniel rose to a place of prominence in Babylon and finally to be the director of their court of astrologers, wise men and library. It will be His telling of the Jewish prophecies which will enable the astronomers in Babylon to recognize the strange celestial event which occurs to their west and is now known as the Star of Bethlehem. It is the descendents of Babylonian wise men armed with the knowledge of Daniel who made the trek to Israel in search of the Hebrew Messiah.

Why did the Jews not recognize this same sign in the heavens? There are many theories on this, but one makes a lot of sense. Several places in the Bible God warns his people not to indulge in astrology including some pointed verses in both Deuteronomy and Jeremiah. In Jeremiah chapter 10 God said, “Thus saith the LORD, Learn not the way of the heathen, and be not dismayed at the signs of heaven; for the heathen are dismayed at them.” Thus, the Hebrews were directed not to pay attention to the very sign that the Babylonians saw. Further, because of this edict by God the Hebrews did not have astrologers studying the heavens as the Babylonians had for centuries.

Now there is the question of the star itself, which in many ways did not behave like a star at all. Stars are fixed in the sky and do not move before us as we travel as is described in this event. They are generally not seen by day as this one was. Stars are not so close that when you get to a certain spot (like Jerusalem) it then shifts in position relative to you and points you toward Bethlehem. Stars do not stand over one building or place and shine magically down on that one spot. For all of these reasons I believe that the star of Bethlehem was not a naturalistic celestial event, but a true miracle of God. A very special sign to humanity that He was changing the world!

However, there are a lot of people over the centuries who have given a lot of thought as to naturalistic explanations for what the star was. Let’s look at some of those. The following excerpt came from Wikipedia.

**Planetary conjunction**

In 1614, German astronomer Johannes Kepler determined that a series of three conjunctions of the planets Jupiter and Saturn occurred in the year 7 BC. He argued (incorrectly) that a planetary conjunction could create a nova, which he linked to the Star of Bethlehem. Modern calculations show that there was a gap of nearly a degree (approximately twice a diameter of the moon) between the planets, so these conjunctions were not visually impressive. An ancient almanac has been found in Babylon which covers the events of this period, but does not indicate that the conjunctions were of any special interest. In the 20th century, Prof. Karlis Kaufmanis, an astronomer, argued that this was an astronomical event where Jupiter and Saturn were in a triple conjunction in the constellation Pisces.

In 3–2 BC, there was a series of seven conjunctions, including three between Jupiter and Regulus and a strikingly close conjunction between Jupiter and Venus near Regulus on June 17, 2 BC. "The fusion of two planets would have been a rare and awe-inspiring event", according to Roger Sinnott. Archaeologist and Assyriologist Simo Parpola has also suggested this explanation. Another Venus–Jupiter conjunction occurred earlier in August, 3 BC. However, these events occurred after the generally accepted date of 4 BC for the death of Herod. Since the conjunction would have been seen in the west at sunset, it could not have led the magi south from Jerusalem to Bethlehem. It also does not fit with an event seen at rising that might have started them on the journey.

Locally, Dr. Jerry Hardwick each year gives a dazzling computer graphics presentation demonstrating this theory of Christ’s birth in 3 BC and the Babylonian magi being led to him in 2 BC. His answer for the problem of the star not being visible by day is that the magi were astronomers and thus knew where the star was in the heavens by day as a point in the sky or horizon and thus even though not visible by day, their astronomical training still allowed this point of reference to guide them.

**Comet**

Other writers suggest that the star was a comet. Halley's Comet was visible in 12 BC and another object, possibly a comet or nova, was seen by Chinese and Korean stargazers in about 5 BC. This object was observed for over seventy days with no movement recorded. Ancient writers described comets as "hanging over" specific cities, just as the Star of Bethlehem was said to have "stood over" the "place" where Jesus was (the town of Bethlehem). However, this is generally thought unlikely as in ancient times comets were generally seen as bad omens. The comet explanation has been recently promoted by Colin Nicholl.

 **Supernova**

A recent (2005) hypothesis is that the star of Bethlehem was a supernova or hypernova occurring in the nearby Andromeda Galaxy. This seems unlikely. Although it is difficult to detect a supernova remnant in another galaxy, or obtain an accurate date of when it occurred, supernovae remnants have been detected in Andromeda, but not by the naked eye.

**Heliacal rising**

The magi told Herod that they saw the star "in the East," "at its rising", which may imply the routine appearance of a constellation, or an asterism (a recognizable pattern of stars in the sky). One theory interprets the phrase in Matthew 2:2, "in the east," as an astrological term concerning a "heliacal rising" (this star rising occurs annually when it first becomes visible above the eastern horizon for a brief moment just before sunrise, after a period of time when it had not been visible). This idea was first proposed by Heinrich Voigt in 1911, a view rejected by Franz Boll(1867–1924). Two modern translators of ancient astrological texts insist that the text has nothing to do with either a heliacal or an acronycal rising of a star (the normal rising of the stars at night).

**Double occultation**

Astronomer Michael R. Molnar points out that “in the east” refers to a technical term in Greek mathematical astrology to describe a planet that would rise above the eastern horizon just before sunrise. This would make a "star in the east" an astronomical event with astrological significance in the context of ancient Greek astrology.

Molnar has proposed a link between the Star of Bethlehem and a double occultation (when one object is blocked from view when it is behind another) of Jupiter by the moon on March 20 and April 17 of 6 BC in Aries, particularly the second occultation on April 17. Occultations of planets by the moon are quite common, but Firmicus Maternus, an astrologer to Roman Emperor Constantine, wrote that an occultation of Jupiter in Aries was a sign of the birth of a divine king.

Based on numismatic (the study of currency) considerations, Molnar believes that Aries the Ram, rather than Pisces the Fish, was the zodiac symbol for Judea, a fact that would affect previous interpretations of astrological material which had considered “Leo, the Lion” to be the sign of Judah. Molnar’s theory was debated by scientists, theologians, and historians during a colloquium on the Star of Bethlehem at the Netherlands’ University of Groningen in October 2014. Harvard astronomer Owen Gingerich supports Molnar’s explanation but noted technical questions. “It is being fairly widely accepted,” he said, "but not necessarily all of the details."  Astronomer David A. Weintraub says, "If Matthew’s wise men actually undertook a journey to search for a newborn king, the bright star didn’t guide them; it only told them when to set out."

The events were quite close to the sun and would not have been visible to the naked eye. But a growing consensus confirms that the Star of Bethlehem was not a bright object, like a supernova or a comet, as others have argued. "The gospel story is one in which King Herod was taken by surprise," said Gingerich. "So it wasn’t that there was suddenly a brilliant new star sitting there that anybody could have seen [but] something more subtle."

Again, all of this history of theories shows us that there is no consensus and none of these theories fit all of the biblical descriptions, for the simple reason that the Star of Bethlehem did not behave like a star. We as creationists are always looking apologetically for how we can explain phenomena in nature in terms of God’s physical laws and designs. However, there are times when God, who wrote the physical laws into being, simply decides to do something special, what we call a miracle. I believe that is what the Star of Bethlehem was, a miracle pointing us toward the even greater miracle of Christ’s birth. We pray that you can focus on the miracle of His birth and what God did for us in sending His son to reconcile sinful men to Him during this Christmas season!

****\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_****

**“Made in His Image” at FEAST this Year!**

***FEAST has again entrusted us with providing FEAST’s science workshops this year. In the spring we will feature the Institute for Creation Research’s new series “Made in His Image.” This year’s schedule of presentations is shown below. Thanks to Dr. Carl and Cindy Williams who have again agreed to provide the very popular young children’s “hands on” programs which run concurrently with our adult and youth presentations.***

**January 25, 2016 Made in His Image - Episode 1: The Miracle of Birth.**

**February 22, 2016 Made in His Image - Episode 2: The Marvel of Eyes.**

**March 28, 2016 Made in His Image - Episode 3: Uniquely Human Hands.**

**April 25, 2016 Made in His Image - Episode 4: Beauty in Motion.**

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Patterns of Evidence: The Exodus**

SABBSA has been invited by the **Greater Houston Creation Association** to present this fine video **Patterns of Evidence: The Exodus** at Houston First Baptist Church on Thursday, December 3 at 7 pm in room 143.

SABBSA has purchased the rights to show this video over the next year and we will be happy to bring it to your church or other organization. Just email us or call Scott Lane at 210-861-0454.

**Creation Ministry International (CMI) coming to San Antonio in December**

## Keaton Halley, a speaker with CMI will be presenting at Calvary Chapel Solid Rock, 17018 Silverwood Dr, San Antonio TX. 78232 at 9am and 11am on Sunday, December 6. His topic is “Creation: What’s the Big Deal?”

That Sunday evening Mr. Halley will also speak on the same topic at 6:00pm at

**Victory Assembly of God**

1017 W Byrd Blvd, Universal City, TX 78148

Creation materials will be available at both locations.

**Prayer Needs and Praises!**

**Answers in Genesis** and their Ark Encounter project have come under intense political attack and the state of Kentucky has rescinded their rights to tax incentives provided to all tourist attractions such as them. AiG has had to sue the state of Kentucky to try and get back the tax incentives provided to all tourist attractions in Kentucky. Please pray that God will restore these tax incentives to Ark Encounter. Praise God for the fact that AiG has been able to break ground and move forward with this ambitious project!

Please keep **Bodie Hodge** (son-in-law of Ken Ham, creation science book author and speaker for AiG) in your prayers. In November, he suffered a massive heart attack. After a successful surgery, he is now resting at home with his family.

**Humor Corner**

**Around Texas**

**Houston:**
The **Greater Houston Creation Association** (**GHCA**) meets the first Thursday of each month. They meet at Houston's First Baptist Church at 7 pm, in Room 143. After the presentation, there will be refreshments, fellowship and creation science materials for all to enjoy. For more information go to [www.ghcaonline.com](http://r20.rs6.net/tn.jsp?llr=bztaencab&et=1103662222545&s=545&e=001xF-6WOYzM5Yyre44Ea_qUjH5EOT_fFIGjrfpfa5h-rD53IlUVbz3Vc0Dp47_VEwW3iQQ6F1b6K0EtKc_vUxYKpzN_8V2upXFbsOScvUeD92nJdUTjDIFeg==).

**Glen Rose:**
Dr. Carl Baugh gives a “***Director’s Lecture Series***” on the first Saturday of each month at the Creation Evidences Museum just outside Glen Rose, TX. The new and improved museum is also a great and beneficial way to spend any day. Presentations are at 11 am and 2 pm. For more information go to [www.creationevidence.org](http://www.creationevidence.org)

**Dallas-Ft Worth:**
The Metroplex Institute of Origin Science (MIOS) meets at the Dr. Pepper Starcenter, 12700 N. Stemmons Fwy, Farmers Branch, TX, usually at 7:30 pm of the first Tuesday of each month.

**Lubbock Area (Crosbyton):**
All year: Consider a visit to the Mt. Blanco Fossil Museum, directed by Joe Taylor. The Museum is definitely worth the visit if you live near or are traveling through the Panhandle near Lubbock. If you call ahead and time permitting, Joe has been known to give personal tours, especially to groups. For more information, visit [http://www.mtblanco.com/](http://r20.rs6.net/tn.jsp?llr=bztaencab&et=1103662222545&s=545&e=001xF-6WOYzM5bqqt6T1G_CwJWJosrOSIhLAagtnP0Z504J-gEROEBe22S3gq720x6ofjFVqK-AfJcsjrsuQyRtoepYiAQVVUFC-cF56fLwwBJ0SQ-44KlLmw==).

**Greater San Antonio area:** Listen to **Answers with Ken Ham** online at the address below. (No nearby station for this broadcast). <http://www.answersingenesis.org/media/audio/answers-daily> To hear program from the **Institute for Creation Research**, listen online at this address. <http://www.icr.org/radio/>Also, tune in KHCB FM 88.5 (San Marcos) or KKER FM 88.7 (Kerrville) for **Back to Genesis** at 8:57 AM Mon-Fri, then **Science, Scripture and Salvation** at 1:30 AM, 8:00 AM and 4:30 PM on Saturdays.

**The Last Month at SABBSA**

**Human Design: The Making of a Baby (DVD)**

Evolution holds that life descended from a common ancestor through small modifications over long periods of time. Actual living creatures, however, reveal something different. ICR National Representative Dr. Randy Guliuzza explores the complexities of human reproduction to demonstrate that life couldn't possibly have evolved. He shows that biological systems are fully integrated - they have key parts that fit together for an intended purpose and break down when those parts are altered or removed.

Approaching the subject in a tasteful manner, Dr. Guliuzza takes his audience through the detailed process of reproductive physiology and microscopic anatomy required for the making of a human baby. The inescapable conclusions of design give God all the glory, showing that we are truly "fearfully and wonderfully made" (Psalm 139:14).

This video and its companion video also by Guliuzza in December will serve as the “set up” programs for our “**Made in His Image”** series to be presented at FEAST starting in January.

Our evaluation of this video was that it showed an impressive amount of evidence proving that the miracle of birth is just that. Birth is a miraculously designed process which in no possible way could have occurred by chance. It is a glorious testimony to the greatness of our Creator!

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Next SABBSA Meeting:
Tuesday, December 8, 2015, at 7 pm**

**Coming to SABBSA in December**

**The Human Body: Divine Engineering (DVD)**

Evolutionists say any appearance of design in nature is just an illusion. But how does that stack up to reality?

**Dr. Randy Guliuzza**, a professional engineer, medical doctor, National Representative for the Institute for Creation Research and a former SABBSA Board Member explores the wonders of the human hand, demonstrating the precise interactions of our nervous systems and muscles that provide its powerful movements. Join Dr. Guliuzza as he unwraps the astounding design features that testify to the engineering genius of our Creator, the Lord Jesus Christ.

Please join us on December 8 for this fascinating video showing God's wonderful formation of ourselves! As always we will meet at the Jim’s restaurant at the corner of San Pedro and Ramsey, at 7 pm. Please join us for good food, good Christian fellowship and faith enhancing evidence of scientific proof of God’s creation!